

# A Simple Solution to Key Discovery

Werner Koch

OpenPGP.conf  
Cologne  
September 8, 2016



# Outline

Road Blockers for OpenPGP Adoption

A Solution for Key Discovery

Wrapping Up

## Support in MUAs

- ▶ Solved for all free software MUAs. ✓
- ▶ Solved for most proprietary MUAs. ✓
- ▶ Soon to be solved for Outlook. ✓
- ▶ Web-mailers are problematic.
  - Solutions are on the way.

## Meta Data Protection Needed?

- ▶ No way to do this with standard mail.
  - RFC-822 will stay with us.
- ▶ New transports need a working anti-spam solution.
  - Will that ever be possible?
  - Without high ecological costs.
- ▶ Meta data is often useful.
  - Depends on the threat model.
- ▶ Political solutions required !



# Key Discovery

- ▶ Keyservers can't map a mail address to a key.
  - Only the mail provider can do that.
  - Mail addresses are not under the user's authority.
- ▶ Keyservers are decentralized; this is a Good Thing™.
- ▶ Verifying keyservers harm the PGP system.
  - They need to be under a single authority.
  - The return of the X.500 dilemma.
- ▶ Provider provides the key. ✓

# Key Validation

- ▶ The Web-of-Trust is a geek's instrument.
  - Hard to explain.
  - Global social graph.
  - It does not scale.
- ▶ The Trust On First Use paradigm is better.
  - Local. ✓
  - Keeps the PGP properties. ✓



# Outline

Road Blockers for OpenPGP Adoption

A Solution for Key Discovery

Wrapping Up

## DANE (RFC-7929)

- ▶ DNSSEC for key lookup.
- ▶ Distributed database.
- ▶ Experimental RFC.
- ▶ Support in GnuPG..

### Problems:

- ▶ No encryption.
- ▶ Client DNSSEC is virtually impossible.
- ▶ Adding resources to the DNS is not easy.
- ▶ Requires collaboration of the mail provider.





# Web Key Directory

- ▶ HTTPS for key lookup.
- ▶ Using a well-known URL
- ▶ Easy to deploy.
- ▶ Encrypted access.
- ▶ Support in GnuPG.

## Problems:

- ▶ Not distributed, but decentralized.
- ▶ TLS access required.
  - Should be standard today.
- ▶ Requires collaboration of the mail provider.



## What Both Cannot Do

- ▶ They assume trustworthy mail providers.
- ▶ No protection against customized answers.
- ▶ No easy offline communication.
- ▶ No specification for a key publication.

Shall only be used for initial key discovery.

## Web Key Service

- ▶ Supporting protocol for WKD and DANE.
- ▶ Entirely based on mail exchange.
- ▶ Can work offline (air-gap).
- ▶ Server and client are part of GnuPG.
  - Mailers should be enhanced.

## WKS Standard Protocol

- ▶ Client reads address and policy for the domain.
- ▶ Client sends key encrypted to that address.
- ▶ Server receives key; sends encrypted nonce.
- ▶ Client decrypts the nonce; sends it back to the server.
- ▶ Server checks the received nonce and publishes the key.
- ▶ Server sends a welcome message.

## WKS Variant “auth-submit”

- ▶ Iff the Server has authenticated the sender,
- ▶ the Server may publish the key directly.

Why:

- ▶ Only small client modifications.
- ▶ But more fragile and difficult to set up correctly.
- ▶ Only for large providers, no aliases, etc.

## Improving WKS

Now:  $\text{Enc}(\text{ nonce } )$

Then:  $\text{Sign}(\text{ text}, \text{Enc}(\text{ nonce } ) )$

- ▶ Easy verification: Provider key already known.
- ▶ Unattended discarding of non-provider mails.
- ▶ Detection of WKS messages before encryption.
- ▶ No decryption of unknown messages.
- ▶ Allows for customized prompt.

## Future WKD/WKS improvements

- ▶ Client DB of pending requests.
- ▶ DNS based WKS (submitter-address, policy).
- ▶ Key retrieval by mail.
- ▶ Several keys per address:
  - Revocation of old key.
  - Offline key rollover (forward secrecy).
- ▶ Support for CONIKS once that is matured.

# Outline

Road Blockers for OpenPGP Adoption

A Solution for Key Discovery

Wrapping Up





## What Needs To Be Done

- ▶ Convince mail providers to install either WKD or DANE along with the Web Key Service for easy key publication.
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
- ▶ Add support to clients.

## Summary

- ▶ Web Key Directory finds the right key.
- ▶ Web Key Service does the key publishing.
- ▶ Malicious provider detection to be added later.

Thanks for attending OpenPGP.conf

Slides are © 2016 The GnuPG Project, CC BY-SA 4.0.

<https://openpgp-conf.org/2016/openpgp-2016-simple-key-discovery.pdf>



## Summary

- ▶ Web Key Directory finds the right key.
- ▶ Web Key Service does the key publishing.
- ▶ Malicious provider detection to be added later.

Thanks for attending **OpenPGP.conf**

Slides are © 2016 The GnuPG Project, CC BY-SA 4.0.

<https://openpgp-conf.org/2016/openpgp-2016-simple-key-discovery.pdf>

## Summary

- ▶ Web Key Directory finds the right key.
- ▶ Web Key Service does the key publishing.
- ▶ Malicious provider detection to be added later.

Thanks for attending **OpenPGP.conf**

Slides are © 2016 The GnuPG Project, CC BY-SA 4.0.

<https://openpgp-conf.org/2016/openpgp-2016-simple-key-discovery.pdf>

## Summary

- ▶ Web Key Directory finds the right key.
- ▶ Web Key Service does the key publishing.
- ▶ Malicious provider detection to be added later.

Thanks for attending **OpenPGP.conf**

Slides are © 2016 The GnuPG Project, CC BY-SA 4.0.

<https://openpgp-conf.org/2016/openpgp-2016-simple-key-discovery.pdf>